



NEWS

Judicial Council of California
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS
Public Information Office
(415) 865-7740
Lynn Holton, Public Information Officer

Release Date: January 29, 2001

Release Number: S.C. 04/01

SUMMARY OF CASES ACCEPTED DURING WEEK OF JANUARY 22, 2001

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The description or descriptions set out below do not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#01-05 Correa v. Superior Court, S093476. (G027265; 84 Cal.App.4th 631.)

Petition for review after the Court of Appeal granted a petition for peremptory writ of mandate.

#01-06 Valero v. Superior Court, S093477. (G027343.) Unpublished opinion.

Petition for review after the Court of Appeal granted a petition for peremptory writ of mandate.

Correa and Valero both concern whether an investigating officer, who is permitted to give hearsay testimony at a preliminary examination (see Pen. Code, § 872, subd. (b)), can testify to out-of-court statements of a witness made through an interpreter, or whether such testimony constitutes multiple hearsay to which no exception applies.

#01-07 People v. Loyd, S092653. (A080542; 83 Cal.App.4th 1166, mod. 84 Cal.App.4th 670d.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court limited review to the issue of whether the trial court erred by not dismissing the information or recusing the prosecutor when the prosecutor ordered defendant's non-privileged county jail conversations to be recorded in violation of De Lancie v. Superior Court (1982) 31 Cal.3d 865.

(over)

#01-08 Thomas on Habeas Corpus, S063274. Original proceeding. In this case, which is related to the automatic appeal in People v. Thomas, 2 Cal.4th 489, the court issued an order to show cause limited to a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel for failure to conduct an adequate investigation.

DISPOSITIONS

#00-05 Swenson v. County of Los Angeles, S083916, was dismissed and remanded to the Court of Appeal.